

Nathaniel A. Rivers
English Department
ENGL 860: Public Rhetoric
Fall 01

Mini-Grant Results Report

Goals and Expectations

English 3860 was designed to explore how rhetoric (collective action, urban design, public policy) shapes publics and how public places themselves (civic spaces, parks, and mundane features such as traffic lights and trashcans) work to shape rhetorical activity. Individually, students in the course produced a series of texts (loosely defined) devoted to a topic or object of concern (local food, music, policy issues, scientific and/or technological developments). The texts were composed for a particular public and were released on a regular basis (think in terms of a podcast episodes, magazine issues, or a television series). Students had complete creative control over their productions in terms of medium, style, and content. The only requirement wa

and hid caches of our own based on our experiences earlier in the semester. In short, we explored how we can distribute caches to shape how other geocachers move through and experience the city. How can we see geocaching as a form of public rhetoric that persuades people to see the city of St Louis in new way?

In order to for my class to engage in geocaching we needed handheld GPS devices (paid for with the mini-grant). While many smartphones are GPS enabled, such devices are generally less accurate.

Course Outcomes

Since the completion of the course, I have named the work described above *geocomposition* (see attached manuscript currently under review at *College Composition and Communication*). The goal of geocomposition is to have students engage the oft-overlooked yet nevertheless vital features of place and the ways in which such features shape their own experiences. Geocomp relies on locative media like handheld GPS units: portable media designed both to function while moving and to work

traffic—pedestrian, automobile, or otherwise. Here, a group of young scholar-warriors intervene and recreate the space, charting a new understanding of the landscape within an already charted (and often neglected) space. Whereas the original recipe of the median called for safety via exclusion, these whipper-snappers whipped up danger via inclusion. To access the median, these potential martyrs of rhetoric were forced to interact with—and as a result become part of—traffic in ways discouraged by the design. In realizing what the lay of the

instructions encourage students to compose descriptions that expressed their goals for the project: tell participants what to attend to, what to value, and why.

After the descriptions are composed, or more commonly, as the descriptions were being composed, students began to construct the containers they would hide. This work included scouting places to hide them, which in turn suggested what kind of cache to construct: there is wide variety of geocache container types. Here, my multimodal approach to writing is indebted to the work of composition scholar Jody Shipka. Worrying that multimedia writing, and composition more, generally has become unquestionably linked to “computer-based, digitized, screen-mediated texts” (7-8), Shipka argues that teachers must “create instead opportunities for students to examine the highly distributed and fundamentally multimodal aspects of all communicative practice” (84). In this vein, Shipka describes students working with found materials such as wood or “(ib) (s.5 (a) -7 0.5 (a) (t) 0.5 (r) -0

